

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re

CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION
OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK
(SKAT) TAX REFUND LITIGATION

This document relates to:
Case No. 18-cv-10080(LAK)

18-MD-2865 (LAK)

ECF Case

**ANSWER OF
MATTHEW TUCCI**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant Matthew Tucci (“Defendant” or “Tucci”), by and through his attorneys,
CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED, as and for his Answer to the Complaint dated June 1,
2018 (the “Complaint”) states as follows:

AS TO THE PARTIES

1. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as
to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1, except to admit that SKAT is a taxing
authority of Denmark.

2. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 2.

3. Defendant admits the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 3.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 3, except to
admit that Defendant Tucci communicated on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive LLC Solo 401K
Plan (“OneZeroFive Plan”) regarding its tax refund claims.

AS TO THE NATURE OF THE ACTION

4. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4, except to admit that SKAT is a taxing authority of Denmark.

5. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, except Defendant denies participating in a fraudulent tax refund scheme to deceive SKAT.

6. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, except Defendant denies participating in a fraudulent scheme.

7. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7, except Defendant admits that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan submitted refund claims for tax withheld on dividends earned on the shares of Danish companies, and except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

8. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, except Defendant admits that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan submitted withholding tax refund claims and received tax refunds, and except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

9. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, except Defendant admits that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan submitted withholding tax refund claims and received tax refunds, and

Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

10. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, except Defendant admits that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan received refunds of tax, and except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

11. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 11, except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

12. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 12, except Defendant does not have knowledge sufficient to admit or deny allegations with respect to all claimants.

a. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12.a., except Defendant admits communicating in writing with the Payment Agent regarding the tax refund claims on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan.

b. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12.b., except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

c. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12.c., except Defendant, upon

information and belief, denies the allegations that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan did not own shares in Danish companies and had not earned dividends on those shares.

13. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 13.

14. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14, except to admit that SKAT made payments to the Payment Agent for Defendant OneZeroFive Plan, who subsequently disbursed the payments, and except that Defendant denies participating in a fraudulent scheme.

15. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 15, except Defendant denies participating in a fraudulent scheme.

16. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16, except Defendant admits that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan was paid withholding tax refunds.

AS TO THE JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. Paragraph 17 alleges legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required to Paragraph 17, Defendant admits that the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, that SKAT is an agency or instrumentality of a foreign state, and that Defendants are citizens of a state, and Defendant denies allegations in Paragraph 17 to the extent they suggest that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matter.

18. Paragraph 18 alleges legal conclusions to which no answer is required.

AS TO THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

19. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 19, except to admit that SKAT is the relevant taxing authority.

20. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 20, except to admit that a certain percentage of dividends is withheld by Danish companies as withholding tax on dividends.

21. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 21, except to admit that under certain circumstances foreign shareholders may be entitled to a refund of the withholding tax.

22. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 22, except to admit that under certain circumstances, U.S. pension plan shareholders may be entitled to a refund of tax withheld on dividends paid by Danish companies.

23. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 23, except Defendant admits that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan submitted withholding tax refund claims and that SKAT paid refunds of the withholding tax, and except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

24. Defendant denies having sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny allegations contained in Paragraph 24.

25. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 25, except Defendant admits that Defendant

OneZeroFive Plan submitted withholding tax refund claims, and except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

26. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 26, except to admit that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan submitted refund claims to SKAT through Acupay System LLC ("Acupay"), and Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

27. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 27, except to admit that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan received tax refund payments.

28. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 28, except to admit or deny as follows:

a. Defendant admits that the withholding tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan included a cover letter from the Payment Agent;

b. Defendant admits that the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan set out the information as set forth in the allegations contained in Paragraphs 28.b and 28.b.i through iv;

c. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 28.c., except to admit that the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan included "credit advice" notes that described the security and the amount of dividend tax withheld;

d. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 28.d, except that Defendant admits that the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan

included a document executed by Defendant Tucci in connection with the Payment Agent's submission of the tax refund claims on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan; and

e. Defendant admits that the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan included a statement from the Internal Revenue Service containing the information as set forth in the allegations contained in Paragraph 28.e.

29. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 29, except to admit that the credit advice notes included with the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan referenced shareholdings in Danish-listed companies, and except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

30. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 30.

31. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 31, except to admit that SKAT paid withholding tax refunds for Defendant OneZeroFive Plan to its Payment Agent.

32. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 32, except to deny submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

33. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 33, except to admit that the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan were submitted through its Payment Agent, and except that Defendant denies that the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of

Defendant OneZeroFive Plan were submitted as described in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint, except as otherwise admitted in response to Paragraph 28.

34. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 34, except Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on behalf of any claimant or otherwise participating in the fraudulent scheme. Defendant denies the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 34, except to admit that Defendant Tucci provided Acupay with a power of attorney relating to the submission of the tax refund claims for Defendant OneZeroFive Plan.

35. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 35, except Defendant denies submitting a fraudulent tax refund claim on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in the fraudulent scheme. Defendant denies the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 35, except to admit that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan submitted its tax refund claims through Acupay.

36. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 36, except Defendant admits that Defendant OneZeroFive Plan represented to SKAT that it held shares in, and received dividends net of withholding tax from, large Danish-listed companies. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 36, except Defendant admits that thirteen (13) withholding tax refund claims totaling at least \$5,676,000 (US), were made on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the third sentence of

Paragraph 36, except Defendant admits that the tax refund claims were submitted to SKAT on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan on or about March 20, 2014; April 15, 2014; April 17, 2014; May 28, 2014; and September 17, 2014.

37. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 37.

38. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 38, except Defendant admits that SKAT made the tax refund claim payment on or about May 9, 2014; May 28, 2014; July 3, 2014; and October 9, 2014, in connection with the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan, and Defendant denies submitting false tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

39. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 as related to all claimants. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 39 relate to the Defendant, Defendant denies the allegations, except as admitted in response to Paragraph 40 through 41.

40. Paragraph 40 alleges legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer to Paragraph 40 may be deemed required and to the factual component of the allegations, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 40, except that Defendant admits executing a document on or about March 3, 2014, that contained the language quoted in Paragraph 40.

41. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 41, except Defendant admits signing powers of attorney on behalf of a number of U.S. claimants, including Defendant OneZeroFive

Plan, and except Defendant denies submitting false tax refund claims on any claimant's behalf or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

42. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 except Defendant admits that the Payment Agent submitted withholding tax refund claims on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan, and Defendant denies submitting fraudulent tax refund claims on behalf of any claimants or otherwise participating in a fraudulent scheme.

43. Paragraph 43 alleges legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer to Paragraph 43 may be deemed required and to the factual component of the allegations, Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 43, except to admit that Defendant communicated in writing with the Payment Agent regarding tax refund claims on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan.

44. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 44, except as admitted in response to Paragraph 28, and Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 as it relates to other claimants.

45. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 45, except to admit that tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan contained a power of attorney executed by Defendant and requested that SKAT pay such claims to the Payment Agent's bank account.

46. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 46, except to admit that SKAT paid the tax refund claim payments to the Payment Agent with respect to Defendant

OneZeroFive Plan and that such Payment Agent subsequently distributed the proceeds.

Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 46 as it relates to other claimants.

47. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 47, except to admit that “credit advice” or similar notes showing Defendant OneZeroFive Plan’s ownership of shares of Danish companies was included with its withholding tax refund claims. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 47 as it relates to other claimants.

48. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 48, except Defendant admits that the example alleged in Paragraph 48 refers to a credit advice statement that was included with one of the tax refund claims submitted on behalf of Defendant OneZeroFive Plan.

49. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 49. Moreover, Paragraph 49 alleges legal conclusions to which no answer is required.

50. Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 50, except to admit that Defendant is aware that SKAT erroneously concluded that the tax refund claims submitted by Defendant OneZeroFive Plan were fraudulent.

AS TO THE CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

(Fraud – Against Both Defendants)

51. Defendant repeats and incorporates his responses in paragraphs 1 through 50 above.

52. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 52.

53. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 53.

54. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 54.

55. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 55.

COUNT II

(Aiding and Abetting Fraud – Against Both Defendants)

56. Defendant repeats and incorporates his responses in paragraphs 1 through 55 above.

57. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 57.

58. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 58.

59. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 59.

60. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 60.

61. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 61.

62. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 62.

COUNT III

(Unjust Enrichment – Against Both Defendants)

63. Defendant repeats and incorporates his responses in paragraphs 1 through 62 above.

64. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 64.

65. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 65.

66. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 66.

67. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 67.

COUNT IV

(Money Had & Received – Against Both Defendants)

68. Defendant repeats and incorporates his responses in paragraphs 1 through 67 above.

69. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 69.

70. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 70.

COUNT V

(Negligent Misrepresentation – Against All Defendants)

71. Defendant repeats and incorporates his responses in paragraphs 1 through 70 above.

72. Paragraph 72 alleges legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer to Paragraph 72 may be deemed required, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 72.

73. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 73.

74. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 74.

75. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 75.

AS TO THE REQUEST FOR RELIEF

76. The allegations set forth in the “WHEREFORE” clause constitute Plaintiff’s request for relief to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is necessary, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As A First Affirmative Defense

77. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted for the reason that it does not assert a legal theory cognizable as a matter of law and it fails to allege sufficient facts to support a cognizable legal claim.

As A Second Affirmative Defense

78. The claims are barred by the long-standing doctrine of the Revenue Rule, which prohibits one sovereign from using courts of another sovereign to enforce its revenue laws.

As A Third Affirmative Defense

79. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred by the applicable statutes of limitations.

As A Fourth Affirmative Defense

80. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part by the equitable doctrines of unclean hands, waiver, laches, and estoppel.

As A Fifth Affirmative Defense

81. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part for failure to join indispensable or necessary parties.

As A Sixth Affirmative Defense

82. The recovery by the Plaintiff, if any, should be barred because the subject matter of this lawsuit is the subject of another pending legal proceeding.

As A Seventh Affirmative Defense

83. Plaintiff's action is barred because of its failure to exhaust administrative and other legal remedies available to it.

As An Eighth Affirmative Defense

84. If the Plaintiff suffered any loss, damage, or injury, such damages were caused in whole or in part by, and arose out of, Plaintiff's culpable conduct, including but not limited to contributory negligence and assumption of risk.

As A Ninth Affirmative Defense

85. If the Plaintiff suffered any loss, damages, or injury, such alleged injuries and damages were caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence, assumption of risk, fault, and/ or other culpable parties and/or third parties to this action, other than Defendant, for whose acts or omissions or breaches of legal duty Defendant is not liable.

As A Tenth Affirmative Defense

86. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part by collateral estoppel.

As An Eleventh Affirmative Defense

87. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part because Plaintiff has failed to mitigate its alleged damages.

As A Twelfth Affirmative Defense

88. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part by res judicata.

As A Thirteenth Affirmative Defense

89. If the Plaintiff suffered any loss, damage, or injury, such damages are barred in whole or part to the extent such damages have been or will be paid or indemnified by a collateral source.

As A Fourteenth Affirmative Defense

90. The recovery by the Plaintiff, if any, should be reduced by application of New Jersey law, including N.J.S.A. § 2A:15-5.3.

As A Fifteenth Affirmative Defense

91. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part by waiver and release.

As A Sixteenth Affirmative Defense

92. Defendant reserves the right to add to and/or amend these Affirmative Defenses because of information that may become known during the course of discovery.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests a judgement dismissing the Complaint with prejudice, together with his costs, disbursements and attorney's fees and such other further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York

July 29, 2019

CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED

s/ Mark D. Allison

By: Mark D. Allison

Mark D. Allison
Zhanna A. Ziering
600 Lexington Avenue, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 379-6000

*Attorneys for Defendant
Matthew Tucci*